Math 279 Lecture 23 Notes

Daniel Raban

November 16, 2021

1 Norms and Schauder Estimates for Hölder-Zygmund Spaces

1.1 Equivalence of definitions of Hölder-Zygmund spaces

Recall that for our function spaces, we are using Hölder (or rather Hölder-Zygmund) spaces, and one of the simplest regularity estimates that are available for elliptic/parabolic PDE are the Schauder-type estimattes. For example, if K is smooth off of 0, with

- 1. supp $K \subseteq B_1(0)$
- 2. $|\partial^k K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{\beta d |k|},$

our Schauder estimates assert that

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \implies K * u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Recall that for $\alpha < 0$, \mathcal{C}^{α} consists of $u \in \mathcal{D}'$ such that

$$[u]_{\alpha,K} = \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{\delta \in (0,1]} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_r} \frac{|u(\varphi_x^{\delta})|}{\delta^{\alpha}} < \infty \qquad \text{for every compact } K,$$

where \mathcal{D}_r is the set $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq B_1(0)$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^r} \leq 1$. Here, r is the smallest integer such that $r + \alpha > 0$. As for $\alpha = n + \alpha_0$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, \mathcal{C}^{α} consists of functions u such that $\partial^k u$ exists for $|k| \leq n$, and $\partial^k u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha_0}$, the Hölder continuous functions of exponent α_0 .

We now define

$$[u]_{\alpha,K} = \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{\delta \in (0,1]} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}} \frac{|u(\varphi_x^{\delta})|}{\delta^{\alpha}} < \infty \quad \text{for every compact } K,$$

where now $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ is the set of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq B_1(0)$, $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$, and $\int \varphi P = 0$ for every polynomial P of degree at most n. Let us write $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}$ for the set of distributions u for which $[u]_{\alpha,K} < \infty$ for every compact K.

Proposition 1.1. $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha} = \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$, and they are isomorphic.

Proof. $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}$ by Taylor expansion. For the converse, assume that $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}$, and pick $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\int \rho = 1$. Recall that $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u * \rho^{\varepsilon}$ and that $u * \rho^{\varepsilon}$ is a smooth function for each ε . Then using a telescoping sum, we can write

$$u - u(\rho_x^{\delta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (u(\rho_x^{2^{-n-1}\delta}) - u(\rho_x^{2^{-n}\delta}))$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (u(\rho_x^{2^{-n-1}\delta}) - u(\rho_x^{2^{-n}\delta}))$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u((\rho^{1/2} - \rho)_x^{2^{-n}\delta}).$$

Let us first assume that n = 0, i.e. $\alpha \in (0, 10)$, so that $\rho^{1/2} - \rho \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$. This would allow us to assert that

$$|u((\rho^{1/2}-\rho)_x^{2^{-n}\delta})| \lesssim (2^{-n}\delta)^{\alpha}.$$

This, in particular, implies that the above sum is uniformly convergent, so u must be a function. On the other hand, this estimate implies that

$$|u(x) - u(\rho_x^{\delta})| \lesssim \delta^{\alpha}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(y) &\lesssim \delta^{\alpha} + |u(\rho_x^{\delta}) - u(\rho_y^{\delta})| \\ &= \delta^{\alpha} + |u(\rho_x^{\delta} - \rho_y^{\delta})|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(\rho - \rho_a)_x^{\delta} = \rho_x^{\delta} - \rho_{x+\delta a}^{\delta}.$$

Hence, if we choose $a = \frac{y-x}{\delta}$, then we get $\rho_x^{\delta} - \rho_y^{\delta}$. So we may select $\delta = |x-y|$ to assert

$$|u(\rho_x^{\delta} - \rho_y^{\delta})| \lesssim \delta^{\alpha} = |x - y|^{\alpha}.$$

Thus,

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{\alpha},$$

as desired.

This completes the proof when n = 0. For higher n, we integrate by parts. For example, if n = 1, then we can show that $\partial_{x_i} u \in C^{\alpha}$ for each i by the previous case.

1.2 Schauder estimates for Hölder-Zygmund spaces

Now we focus on our Schauder estimate. We use a Paley-Littlewood type expansion of the kernel K but in the space variable. To prepare for this, start with a smooth function φ with $\varphi = 1$ on (0, 1/2] and supp $\varphi \subseteq [0, 1]$. Then set $\psi(x) - \varphi(x/2) - \varphi(x)$, so that supp $\psi \subseteq [1/2, 2]$.



Further define $\psi_n(x) = \psi(2^n x)$. Observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varphi(2^{n-1}x) - \varphi(2^nx))$$
$$= \varphi(2^{-1}x),$$

which equals 1 in (0,1]. Observe that supp $\psi_n \subseteq [2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n+1}]$, and if we write

$$K = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\underbrace{K(x)\psi_n(|x|)}_{K_{n-1}}) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} K_n(x)$$

with $K_n \subseteq \{x : |x| \in [2^{-n-2}, 2^{-n}\} \subseteq B_{2^{-n}}(0)$, then by our assumption on K,

$$|K_n(x)| \lesssim 2^{-n(\beta-d)}, \qquad |\partial^k K_n(x)| \lesssim 2^{-n(\beta-|k|-d)}.$$

More conveniently, we can think of this as

$$K = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} 2^{-n\beta} (2^{n\beta} K_n),$$

where the part in the parentheses looks like a Dirac delta. Here, the kernel K is a function with $K \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, supp $\subseteq B_1(0)$, $|\partial_k K(x)| \leq |x|^{\beta-d-|k|}$.

We can now study $u \mapsto u * K$, where $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$. We wish to show that $u * K \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+\beta}$.

$$(u * K)(\xi) = "\iint u(x - y)K(y)\xi(x) \, dx \, dy"$$

$$= \iint u(y)\widetilde{K}(y-x)\xi(x) \, dx \, dy"$$
$$= u(\widetilde{K} * \xi)$$
$$= \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} 2^{-n\beta} u(2^{n\beta}\widetilde{K}_n * \xi).$$

We wish to use $u \in C^{\alpha}$ to get an estimate for $u(2^{n\beta}\widetilde{K}_n * \xi)$, where $\xi = \psi_a^{\delta}$ with ψ that satisfies certain conditions. For example, if $\alpha + \beta < 0$, then $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_r$; otherwise, we need some polynomial condition. We wish to use two pieces of information, $u \in C^{\alpha}$ and the bounds on K_n . supp $\xi \subseteq B_{\delta}(a)$, and $\operatorname{supp}(2^{n\beta}K_n) \subseteq B_{2^{-n}}(0)$, and we have the bounds $|\xi| \lesssim \delta^{-d}$ and $|2^{n\beta}K_n| \lesssim (2^{-n})^d$, which can be used to assert that $\operatorname{supp} \xi * K_n \subseteq B_{\delta+2^{-n}}(a)$ and

$$|u(2^{-\beta}K_n * \xi)| \lesssim (\delta + 2^{-n})^{\alpha}$$

We are assuming $\alpha + \beta < 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |(u * K)(\xi)| &\lesssim \sum_{n} (\delta + 2^{-n})^{\alpha} 2^{-n\beta} \\ &= \sum_{n:2^{-n} < \delta} + \sum_{n:2^{-n} > \delta} \\ &\leq \delta^{\alpha} \sum_{2^{-n} < \delta} 2^{-n\beta} + \sum_{2^{-n} > \delta} 2^{-n(\alpha+\beta)} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{\alpha} \delta^{\beta} + \sum_{n \le \log_2 \frac{1}{\delta}} (2^{-(\alpha+\beta)})^n \\ &\lesssim \delta^{\alpha+\beta} + (2^{-(\alpha+\beta)})^{\log_2 \frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &= 2\delta^{\alpha+\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next, let us examine the case of $\alpha + \beta > 0$. In this case, we assume that $\int \psi P \, dx = 0$, P is a polynomial, and deg $P \leq \alpha + \beta$. We need to use the latter condition to improve our second bound (even when $\alpha < 0$). This can be achieved by subtracting a suitable polynomial P from $2^{n\beta}K_n$ because ξ is orthogonal to such polynomials. We omit the rest of the details.